EVALUATION OF CHEMICAL AND BACTERIOLOGICAL QUALITY OF RAW MILK FROM NEUDAMM DAIRY FARM IN NAMIBIA
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The quality of raw milk obtained from Friesian (Holstein) cows at Neudamm dairy farm was investigated after the quality issue was raised by some milk consumers at the University of Namibia, Neudamm campus. Some consumers complained about the short shelf-life of the milk as it is sold untreated due to lack of processing facilities at the centre. Others were worried of their health as they usually ferment raw milk for home use. In addition, the farm has no proper records of the quality of its milk for dairy herd management. The Department of Food Science and Technology of the University of Namibia, Neudamm campus took an initiative to identify the cause of the problems and the consumers’ health concerns in order to come up with some remedial solutions. Samples for proximate composition and microbiological analyses were collected during the winter and summer seasons and from morning and evenings’ milk at Neudamm Dairy Farm for chemical and microbial analyses. The quality of raw milk sold to staff members was evaluated and the data were used to assist the farm with dairy herd management programme and quality assurance. The mean temperatures, chemical, microbiology and acidity tests were determined. The temperatures ranged from 35 to 37°C. Titratable acidity expressed as lactic acid had a mean of 0.18%, total protein 3.2%, fat 3.63%, total solids (TS) 12.33%; solids-not-fat (SNF) 8.7% and pH varied from 6.0 to 6.7. There were some variations in composition between winter and summer and between morning and evening milk, which are well known and significant at p<0.05. Furthermore, large variations were noted in microbiological composition of raw milk with total aerobic counts ranging from $7.8 \times 10^4$ – $1.3 \times 10^6$ cfu/ml, coliforms from $2.4 \times 10^2$ – $2.3 \times 10^3$ cfu/ml and lactic acid bacteria (LAB) from $1.2 \times 10^3$ – $2.6 \times 10^5$ cfu/ml. Yeasts and moulds were less than 100 cfu/ml in all samples analyzed. From the bacteriological and chemical analysis, it is concluded that the university farm needs a processing unit to control the quality of milk, add value and for training purpose.
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INTRODUCTION

Milk from a healthy udder contains very few numbers of bacteria (<3x10⁴ cfu/ml) but may become contaminated by microorganisms from the surrounding environment during milking and milk handling, from water and milk equipments [1]. Dairy products quality defects have been attributed to poor microbiological quality of raw milk and heat-resistant enzymes [2, 3]. The production of high quality milk should therefore be priority for good quality end products of long shelf life and for marketing of value added products. This is generally not easy to achieve in developing countries due to factors such as poor hygiene and sanitation during milking and milk handling, unclean water, high ambient temperatures, lack of cooling facilities and inadequate infrastructures for milk transportation to the processing facilities [4, 5].

Neudamm college dairy farm was owned by the Ministry of Agriculture, Water and Forestry of Namibia until last year (2008) and has now been taken over by the management of the University of Namibia, Faculty of Agriculture and Natural Resources. The farm is situated about 40 km east of Windhoek on the highway to Gobabis town and about10 km to Hosea Kutako International Airport. The farm is located on a 1200 ha of arid rangeland with beef and dairy cattle, goats, sheep and with a few pigs and local chicken. The dairy herd totaling 53 cows of black and white Holstein-Friesian breeds (Bos Taurus) of which 7-9 are lactating and produce between 230-240 kg of milk per day. The harvesting of milk is carried out in a herringbone parlor using milking machine. Milk is sold to staff members directly after milking while warm (35-37°C), as the batch cooler is slow, and at a subsidized price. Excess milk is skimmed off and the skim milk is distributed to the homes of destitute children and the elderly for free. At present there are no facilities available to process the milk except for cream separation and for the manufacture of farm butter. As an agricultural institution of higher learning, a pilot plant to convert the raw milk into some value added products would be ideal for training and for providing safe and alternative products to the community at Neudamm.

The quality of raw milk and the ability for the breed to produce milk to their potential are vaguely known at the farm and cows are provided with concentrates irrespective of their potentiality. At times milk quality deteriorates before it is consumed due to lack of quick cooling facility like surface cooler. The cows are grazed and fed on hay and silage and are supplemented with concentrates during milking. There is no evidence available at the farm to show the composition and microbiological quality of the milk for consumers’ confidence and safety and for dairy herd management and training except for the quantities produced per cow and per day.

The objectives of this study were therefore to determine the chemical and microbiological quality and quantities of raw milk produced at the Neudamm dairy farm for value addition, safety, shelf-life and dairy herd management and for future development into a dairy pilot plant for training of workers and agricultural graduate students at the institute.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials
Raw milk was obtained from 9 Friesian dairy cows at Neudamm farm in screw-cap 500 ml sterile bottles and transported in ice-cooler to laboratory for analysis [6, 7]. Nine samples from bulk milk with three replicates were collected in the morning and evening over winter (May-September) and summer (October-April) periods of 2006/7. The chemical analyses and microbiological counts were carried out within 3 h of sample collection at the University of Namibia, Neudamm Campus, in the Department of Food Science and Technology laboratory.

Methods
Chemical characteristics of raw milk from Neudamm dairy farm
The raw milk was analyzed for temperature, fat, total solids (TS), solids-not-fat (SNF), titratable acidity expressed as lactic acid and pH. Temperatures were determined by a dairy thermometer, fat content was determined by the Gerber technique and total nitrogen by the Kjeldahl procedure. Crude protein was calculated by multiplying total nitrogen by a factor of 6.38 [8]. The oven drying method was used to determine the TS content of raw milk [9]. SNF values were obtained by subtracting the fat content from TS values. The pH of the samples was measured using a calibrated pH meter with a standard glass electrode. Acidity was determined by titration using the method of Case et al. [10]. Protein stability was determined by Resazurin, Clot-On-Boiling and 68% Alcohol tests.

Enumeration of bacteria, yeasts and molds
Various microbiological counts were enumerated from each sample of raw milk. Ten ml of milk was diluted in 90 ml of sterile Ringer’s solution and mixed thoroughly. One ml of sample was serially diluted using sterile quarter-strength Ringer’s solution and appropriate dilutions were plated using pour-plate method, as described by Harrigan and McCance, [11]. Total aerobic counts were obtained on Eugon’s Agar (Oxoid, Unipath Ltd, Hampshhire, England), while lactic acid bacteria (LAB) counts were carried out on de Man, Rogosa and Sharpe (MRS) Agar with anaerobic incubation at 30 ± 1°C for 48 h. Coliform counts were carried out on Red Bile Agar incubated at 37 ±1°C for 24 h. Confirmation of the presence of coliform was done in 2% Brilliant Green Bile Broth with inverted Durham tubes at 45°C. Evolution of gas and production of acid were considered as positive results for coliforms. Rose-Bengal Chloramphenicol Agar was used for the enumeration of yeasts and moulds. The plates were incubated aerobically at 25°C for 5 days.

Statistical analyses
All determinations were carried out in triplicates. Mean values and standard deviations were calculated. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was also performed and separation of the mean values was carried out by Duncan’s Multiple Range Test at p<0.05 using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software, version 10.0.
RESULTS

Chemical characteristics of winter, summer, morning and evening milk
The chemical characteristics of the raw milk samples obtained from Neudamm dairy farm are summarized in Tables 1 and 5.

The temperature of milk ranged from 35 to 37°C. Fat content ranged from 2.9 to 4.6%, with seven samples containing above South Africa (SANS) regulated minimum standard of 3.3% fat [12]. Total solids (TS) content ranged from 10.64 to 13.41%, while the Solid-Not-Fat (SNF) fraction ranged from 8.09 to 9.03% (Table 1). The SNF in two samples were below the stipulated minimum value of 8.5%, whereas four samples recorded below the TS minimum of 11.6% [10, 12]. Density or specific gravity of the raw milk ranged from 1.029 to 1.033 which was within the SA regulated minimum standard (SABS) of 1.028.

Results of Resazurin, Clot-on-boiling and Alcohol tests on the milk samples were fairly good but deteriorated fast when purchased and stored in consumers’ containers without cooling. The Resazurin test disc numbers ranged from 3-6 which indicated fair to excellent milk quality while alcohol and clot-on-boiling tests showed that the milk was of fairy good quality at the selling point.

Results of crude protein (Table 1) in some instances were lower than normal average values for cow’s milk, i.e. 2.84% as opposed to 3.2%. Table 2 & 3 show clearly that there are significant differences (p<0.05) between the quality of winter and summer milk and also between morning and evening milk. The seasonal differences in proximate composition existed in fat, TS and SNF including the density. High fat content tends to reduce the density of milk as it has a lower density of 0.93 compared to that of whole milk which is 1.032 g/cm³. There were also significant differences (p<0.05) between evening and morning milk yield per cow (Table 3). The mean difference ranged from 8 kg to 18 kg respectively.

The pH values and acidities of some of the samples were in the range of 6.0 to 6.59 and 0.15 to 0.21% respectively. These figures were slightly out of the South Africa mean standard range of pH 6.5 to 6.7 and acidity of 0.14 to 0.17% LA [12].

Microbiological characteristics
The counts of bacteria, yeasts and moulds from raw milk of Neudamm dairy farm are summarized in Table 4.

The total aerobic counts of Neudamm farm milk ranged from 7.8×10³ – 1.3×10⁵ colony forming units (cfu/ml) with seven (7) of the nine (9) samples having less than 10⁵ cfu/ml. These were below the South Africa Microbiological Standards (SANS) [12] for grade A milk of 1×10⁵ and 6×10⁵ cfu/ml for grade B milk. Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) ranged from 1×10⁴ to 2.6×10⁵ cfu/ml. These figures are, however, below the SANS or South Africa Bureau of Standard but the farm needs to watch out for mastitis, sanitation and hygiene, especially since the cooling facility is not
efficient. The lactic acid bacteria and total aerobic counts were equal in numbers but lower than those reported from other African countries [13].

Coliforms ranged from 2.4×10^2 to 2.3×10^3 cfu/ml. The presence of high numbers of coliforms in milk provides an index of hygienic standard used in the production of milk, as unclean udder and teats can contribute to the presence of coliforms from a variety of sources such as manure, soil, feed, personnel and even water [14, 15].

Yeast and moulds were less than 100 cfu/ml in five samples and were not found in four samples analyzed. This was expected as most contamination is usually bacterial in this kind of environment where machine milking is used.

DISCUSSION

Temperature, chemical composition and total aerobic counts are usually used to determine the overall hygiene, sanitation, quality and storage conditions of raw milk. In some countries, including China [16], the total aerobic counts are used as a means to grade milk for quality payment and to encourage the production of high quality raw milk. This also helps to enhance the overall hygienic standards during milking and handling, otherwise producers of milk below the set standards are penalized and those who produce better quality milk are given bonuses.

Since milk at Neudamm dairy farm is distributed locally to consumers untreated and warm at the temperature ranging from 35-37°C, there is likelihood of the milk deteriorating with time before it is consumed. This could be the reason that some consumers complained that the milk was of poor quality and sometimes clotted on heating. However, the alcohol test, clot-on-boiling test and resazurin test (protein stability tests) which were carried out on the suspected samples indicated that they were still acceptable (Lovibond Disc Comparator Numbers 3-6), but with short shelf life as was sold without cooling. It was also observed that some of the suspected samples which were lower in pH values did not necessarily have corresponding higher acidities. This phenomenon could partly be explained by variations in the chemical composition of the milk and other management factors. Crude protein (nitrogen x 6.38) showed results which were generally lower than the normal average values for cow’s raw fresh milk (3.2 to 3.3%). The gross composition of raw milk could be affected by several factors which may include breed of cows (Holstein-Friesian), stage of lactation, feeding, environmental stress, seasonality and adulteration as well as the age of the cows, though these factors were not fully monitored in this study [14].

The phenomenon at Neudamm milk may have been attributed to feeds, since cattle are fed with dry fodder like hey and concentrates in winter and green pastures in rainy summer season in Namibia. Changes of temperatures may also affect fluctuation of fat content since Namibia can be really hot in summer with temperature ranging from 35 to 38°C [13]. The fat content of milk decreases as the weather becomes warmer and increases again with the approach of winter (+15 to -5°C). The TS and SNF contents
of the milk generally follow the variation of the fat content, the higher the fat content
the higher are the TS and SNF [13] but lower the density. Similarly, morning milk has
higher contents of milk constituents compared to evening milk as described by
Lampert [17] and this can range from 0.5 to 2.0% more fat in morning milk. The
ANOVA analysis indicated that there were significant differences between morning
and evening milk at p<0.05 in fat, TS, SNF contents and density at the milking stage
as well as milk production per cow as shown in Tables 2 and 3. The mean production
difference ranged from 8 kg to18 kg respectively and this could be attributed to the
longer resting period at night or between milking and at this stage most of the energy
is conserved for milk synthesis rather than for movement of cows searching for
pastures.

High numbers of bacteria may be associated with unclean udder, teats and unclean
storage tank [1, 6]. In addition, lactic acid bacteria (LAB) may grow and produce acid
that may spoil the milk if not cooled. The LAB figures are however, below the SABS
but the farm needs to watch out for mastitis, sanitation and hygiene especially since
there is no fast cooling facility. However, the LAB and total aerobic counts were
lower than those reported from other African countries [13, 14], which indicate that
Neudamm dairy farm is practicing a reasonably good sanitation and hygienic
standards with fairly good bacteriological quality.

The presence of high numbers of coliforms in milk provides an index of hygienic
standard used in the production of milk, as unclean udder and teats can contribute to
the presence of coliforms from various sources such as manure, soil, feed, personnel
and water [15, 16, 17]. Higher number of coliforms is of public concern as may carry
serious pathogenic coliforms such as \textit{E. coli}, \textit{Salmonella}, \textit{Shigella spp}. etc. One
\textit{Salmonella} organism in 100 ml of contaminated milk may result in human infection
and diseases [18]. These coliforms may have originated from the feeds such as
concentrates and hey or dry grass, personnel and water.

CONCLUSION

There were some variations in chemical composition of Neudamm farm milk. The fat
content of some samples was below the required minimum standards [12]. The total
aerobic and LAB counts were within the minimum acceptable range but could be
improved with better sanitation, hygiene and milk cooling. Of particular concern were
the high coliform counts, which suggested poor hygienic standards during milking,
milk handling and milk containers. High microbial counts and the possible rapid
multiplication are likely to affect the keeping quality of the raw milk sold directly to
consumers without processing and cooling [2]. The current lower chemical
characteristics of Neudamm milk can be improved by mixing or crossing the breeds or
by changing the breeds to Jerseys or Guernseys. The quality of raw milk distributed to
consumers should be improved by observing HACCP concepts including proper
sanitation and hygiene and should be processed, cooled to 4-5°C and packaged before
distribution. A pilot plant could be ideal for this as it is not that expensive. The
bacteriological and chemical quality of raw milk are the basis for the quality of end
dairy products [2, 13] and therefore the production of high quality milk should be of great importance to the economy of the farm and future sustainable development of a pilot plant at the dairy.

RECOMMENDATION

The management of the Neudamm dairy farm as a matter of urgency and for public health safety should consider purchasing processing equipments to control quality of milk from deterioration and to add value. It should also consider increasing the quantities of milk from the current 230-240 kg of milk per day from 9 lactating cows to at least 500 kg per day from the 53 dairy herds available on the farm. This will justify the purchase of a pilot plant in order to eliminate milk quality problems, train the workers and graduate students of animal and food science and technology on the basics of milk production and handling. It would also allow processing of value added products such as pasteurized milk, table cream, butter and fermented milk products such as cheese, yoghurt and sour milk (Omaere) for income generation and for Neudamm community, who are situated 40 km away from the nearest town or shopping centre.
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Table 1: Means proximate composition (%), titratable acidity (%), pH and density of 7 samples of raw milk

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attributes</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Mean± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fat</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>3.45±0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>3.17±0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS</td>
<td>10.64</td>
<td>13.41</td>
<td>11.96±0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNF</td>
<td>8.09</td>
<td>9.03</td>
<td>8.40±0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>6.52±0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidity</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.19±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density†</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.03±0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Density; g/cm³

Table 2: Summary of chemical characteristics (%) and pH values of 7 samples of raw milk collected from Neudamm dairy farm in 2007/8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attributes</th>
<th>Winter</th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Morning</th>
<th>Evening</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.65±0.23</td>
<td>6.12±0.23</td>
<td>6.54±0.23</td>
<td>6.48±0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titratable acidity</td>
<td>0.15±0.02</td>
<td>0.19±0.02</td>
<td>0.17±0.02</td>
<td>0.18±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat</td>
<td>4.10±0.45</td>
<td>3.20±0.45</td>
<td>4.12±0.45</td>
<td>3.56±0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNF</td>
<td>9.00±0.34</td>
<td>8.40±0.34</td>
<td>8.98±0.34</td>
<td>8.41±0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS</td>
<td>13.10±0.77</td>
<td>11.60±0.77</td>
<td>13.10±0.77</td>
<td>11.97±0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density†</td>
<td>1.03±0.001</td>
<td>1.032±0.001</td>
<td>1.032±0.001</td>
<td>1.032±0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: †Density is express as g/cm³, ± Standard deviation. Titratable acidity expressed as % lactic acid
SNF = Solids-Not-Fat, TS = Total Solids, Values are mean ± SD for seven determinations
Table 3:  Morning and evening mean milk production per cow (Kg±SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cow number</th>
<th>Morning milk</th>
<th>Evening milk</th>
<th>Yields/day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F 146</td>
<td>16±1.07</td>
<td>9±0.82</td>
<td>25±1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 112</td>
<td>18±0.69</td>
<td>8±0.82</td>
<td>26±1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 125</td>
<td>17±0.58</td>
<td>6±0.62</td>
<td>23±1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 140</td>
<td>19±1.15</td>
<td>8±0.58</td>
<td>27±1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 132</td>
<td>14±0.82</td>
<td>9±0.82</td>
<td>23±1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 133</td>
<td>18±0.82</td>
<td>8±0.58</td>
<td>26±1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 150</td>
<td>23±0.90</td>
<td>9±0.82</td>
<td>32±1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 154</td>
<td>19±1.16</td>
<td>9±0.82</td>
<td>28±1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 150V</td>
<td>14±1.29</td>
<td>10±1.29</td>
<td>24±2.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total production per day: 234 Kg = Mean 26 kg/cow

Table 4:  Means of minimum and maximum microbial counts in 7 samples of raw milk with three replicates (cfu/ml)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microbes</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total aerobic counts</td>
<td>7.8×10³</td>
<td>1.3×10⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactic acid bacteria</td>
<td>1.0×10³</td>
<td>2.6×10⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coliforms</td>
<td>2.4×10²</td>
<td>2.3×10³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeasts and moulds</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

cfu/ml – colony forming unit per ml
### Table 5: Results of Resazurin, Clot-On-Boiling and Alcohol tests or Protein stability tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attributes</th>
<th>Winter</th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Morning</th>
<th>Evening</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 min Resazurin test</td>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>3-4</td>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>4-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clot-On-Boiling Test</td>
<td>-ve</td>
<td>±ve</td>
<td>-ve</td>
<td>±ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68% Alcohol Test</td>
<td>-ve</td>
<td>-ve</td>
<td>-ve</td>
<td>-ve</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Resazurin Disc No: 3-4 = fair to good milk; 4-5 = good to very good milk; 5-6 = very good to excellent milk. Clot-On-Boiling: –ve = very good milk; ± = good to fair milk; 68% Alcohol test: All were –ve = good milk.
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